

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,628	02/05/2004	Ray Vincent	284-23258-USD	5647
24923	7590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
PAUL S MADAN MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC			KRECK, JOHN J	
2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130			3673	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/772,628	VINCENT ET AL.	E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
×)	John Kreck	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,12-19 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,12-19,21 are subject to restriction	rawn from consideration.	ent.	
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific process of the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stag	e
·	•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/772,628

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment dated 9/16/04 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 1, drawn to method for producing a well, classified in class 166.
 - II. Claims 2 and 12-16, drawn to method for completing a well, classified in class 166.
 - III. Claims 17-19 and 21, drawn to method for completing a well, classified in class 166.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions I and (II and III) are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the inflating the packer. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a conventional drill-out-cementing-completion.
- 2. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/772,628 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the closing the cementing valve and opening the production valve.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for each other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Claim 13 and 18 link(s) inventions II and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 13 and 18. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application application and/or-nonstatutory-double-patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In

Art Unit: 3673

re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is (703)308-2725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703)308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Kreck · Examiner Art Unit 3673 PAIMARY ExcellENER

JJK